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1. Agenda Item

*Agenda Item 9.1, Issue 9.1.5:*

*Resolution 764 (WRC- 15) - Consideration of the technical and regulatory impacts of referencing Recommendations ITU-R M.1638-1 and ITU-R M.1849-1 in Nos. 5.447F and 5.450A of the Radio Regulations.*

1. APT Preliminary Views and/or APT Views for the modification of draft CPM Report (which was submitted to CPM19-2) on the Agenda Item

* APT Preliminary Views:
* APT Members support ITU-R studies to investigate the technical and regulatory impacts on the services referred to in Nos. 5.447F and 5.450A that would result from referencing Recommendation ITU-R M.1638-1 in place of Recommendation ITU-R M.1638-0 in those footnotes and to also add a new reference to Recommendation ITU-R M.1849-1 to these footnotes. In so doing, APT Members also support to ensure the protection of the services to which the band is allocated including those which are referenced in these footnotes without any constraints to these services.
* APT Members support a long-term solution that requires less regulation should Recommendations ITU-R M.1638 or M.1849 be updated again in the future, while also ensuring protection of the radiolocation service, and creating no additional constraints to the mobile service.
* APT Views for the modification of draft CPM Report: None.

1. Topics proposed by other regional Groups or ITU Members which are not included in no. 2 above

* Germany and US proposed new Approach D which updates both footnotes by removing the references to the Recommendations and replacing them with reference to RR No 5.446A.
* France proposed to revise Approach B with reference to Res. 229 and removal the sentence “No. 5.43A does not apply”.

1. Progress of discussion during CPM19-2 on the Agenda Item

The SWG 2d for 9.1.5 had met 2 sessions and finished all the discussions on the CPM text. The meeting agreed to delete the original method A and C in the draft CPM report and proposed new method A and method B both of which are based on the original method B. The only difference between the two new methods is the last sentence used to replace the original sentence where the recommendations were referenced.

Both the two new methods propose a long-term solution for this issue and in line with the APT Preliminary Views on this issue.

1. Issues which require discussion at APG Coordination meeting and seek guidance thereafter

None.

*Note: Coordinators are encouraged to conduct informal consultation with interested APT Members on the issues/topics under no. 3 and inform the outcomes of consultation to the Coordination Meeting*.