|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Agenda item** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Agenda Item**  9.1 topic c | | | *to study the use of International Mobile Telecommunication system for fixed wireless broadband in the frequency bands allocated to the fixed services on primary basis, in accordance with Resolution* ***175 (WRC-19)****;* | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Working Group** | | | | WG-1 | | | **Sub-Working Group** | | |  | |
| **Coordinator** | Yongseok SEO | | | | | | | **Email** | | | on1yys@korea.kr | | | |
| **WP Chair** | Dr. H. ATARASHI | | | | | | | **Email** | | | hiroyuki.atarashi.yt@nttdocomo.com | | | |
| **Report Date** |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **APT** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **APT Preliminary Views**  CPM23-2/xxxx | | | | * APT Members support the on-going ITU-R studies to review existing ITU-R Recommendation(s), Report(s) and/or Handbook. If this review identifies any need for their revision to meet the objective of this topic, APT Members support revising them accordingly. Should such a revision still not satisfy the requirements of this topic, the development of new Recommendation(s), Report(s) and/or Handbook is supported. * APT Members support no change to the Radio Regulations under agenda item 9.1 topic c), except for suppression of Resolution **175 (WRC-19)**. * APT Members are of the view that the term “fixed wireless broadband” could include a range of applications. * APT Members are of the view that there is no need to have any draft new or revised Resolution on this matter in the draft CPM text. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **APT Views for modification of CPM Report**  CPM23-2/xxxx | | | | None | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Outcome from earlier**  **APT Coord meeting** | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Other regional groups** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | **ATU** | | | | **ASMG** | | | **CEPT** | | | **CITEL** | | **RCC** |
| **Input(s)** | | CPM23-2/xxxx | | | | CPM23-2/xxxx | | | CPM23-2/xxxx | | | CPM23-2/xxxx | | CPM23-2/xxxx |
| **Summary of views/proposals** | |  | | | |  | | |  | | |  | |  |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Summary of discussions during CPM23-2** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Working documents/TEMPs etc** | | | | | [CPM23-2/DT/16](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-230327-TD-0016/en) | | | | | | | | | |
| * Four input documents were received for this topic, i.e., [39 (ARS/BHR/EGY/UAE/JOR/KWT/OMA/QAT)](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0039/en), [82 (CHN)](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0082/en), [209 (SKAO)](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0209/en)\*, [220 (KOR)](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0220/en). * Proposed modified text for Approaches 2 and 3: CHN proposed to add a text for Approach 2 based on one of the sentences in PACP “Should such a revision still not satisfy the requirements of this topic, the development of new Recommendation(s), Report(s) and/or Handbook is supported.” During the meeting, G, USA and ESA raised their concerns about using expression of “is supported” in this sentence. After the discussion, the meeting decided to use the expression of “can be developed in the ITU-R”. * Treatment of Attachments 1 and 2: ARS, EGY and IRQ wanted to review these Attachments, however USA, AUS, F, G, MEX, AFS, LUX and S suggested not reviewing the Attachments. After the discussion, SWG and WG5 did not reach a consensus and submit the DT document ([CPM23-2/DT/16](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-230327-TD-0016/en)) to Plenary. Plenary approved the document without any further discussion and modification. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Issue(s) which require discussion and further guidance at APG Coordination meeting** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |