|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Agenda item** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Agenda Item**  9.1, topic a) | | | Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Working Group** | | | | 5 | | | **Sub-Working Group** | | | - | |
| **Coordinator** | Takahiro Yokoyama | | | | | | | **Email** | | | t.yokoyama@joy.ocn.ne.jp | | | |
| **WP Chair** | Dr. Wahyudi Hasbi | | | | | | | **Email** | | | wahyudi.hasbi@brin.go.id | | | |
| **Report Date** | 2 April 2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **APT** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **APT Preliminary Views**  APG23-5/OUT-25 | | | | APT Members support the ITU-R studies called for by Resolution **655 (WRC-15)**, recognizing that the 27th General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM) in November 2022 adopted Resolution 4 and decided that the maximum value for the difference (UT1 – UTC) would be increased. APT Members would like to end leap seconds. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **APT Views for modification of CPM Report**  CPM23-2/xxxx | | | | N/A | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Outcome from earlier**  **APT Coord meeting** | | | | None | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Other regional groups** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | **ATU** | | | | **ASMG** | | | **CEPT** | | | **CITEL** | | **RCC** |
| **Input(s)** | | CPM23-2/xxxx | | | | CPM23-2/115 | | | CPM23-2/105 | | | CPM23-2/xxxx | | CPM23-2/100 |
| **Summary of views/proposals** | |  | | | |  | | | MOD to Res. 655 (WRC-15) | | |  | | MOD to Res. 655 (WRC-15) |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Summary of discussions during CPM23-2** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Working documents/TEMPs etc** | | | | | [include number and hyper link to relevant working documents etc] | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| There were two contributions with information on possible revisions to Resolution **655 (WRC-15)** (Documents CPM23-2/[100](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0100/en) and [105](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0105/en)). They were not assigned to Sub-WG under WG 5 but were considered at the plenary of WG 5 with respect to how to treat them in the CPM Report. A text was developed through offline consultation and agreed on at WG 5 and the Plenary on 31 March (Doc.23-2/[251R1](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0251/en)), which describes the situation around Resolution. It is incorporated in the section for AI 9.1 in the CPM Report. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Issue(s) which require discussion and further guidance at APG Coordination meeting** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |